

BOROUGH OF RUSHMOOR

MEETING OF THE BOROUGH COUNCIL held at the Council Offices,
Farnborough on Thursday, 23rd February, 2017 at 7.00 p.m.

THE WORSHIPFUL THE MAYOR (CR. JACQUI M. VOSPER)
THE DEPUTY MAYOR (CR. SOPHIA CHOUDHARY, L.L.B.)

- | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| CR. MRS. D.B. BEDFORD | CR. B. JONES, M.Sc., A.R.C.S., |
| CR. D.M.T. BELL | M.N.E.I.M.M.E., C.Eng., M.I.E.T., |
| CR. T.D. BRIDGEMAN, B.A. (Hons.) | M.B.C.S., C.I.T.P. |
| a CR. SUE CARTER | CR. G.B. LYON, B.A. (Oxon) |
| CR. M.S. CHOUDHARY, M.A. | CR. J.H. MARSH, C.Eng., M.I.Mech.E. |
| CR. D.E. CLIFFORD, M.P.A., M.C.M.I. | CR. S.J. MASTERSON |
| CR. R. COOPER | a CR. P.J. MOYLE |
| CR. LIZ CORPS, N.N.D., A.T.D. | CR. MARINA MUNRO |
| CR. A.H. CRAWFORD, J.P., B.Sc., | CR. K.H. MUSCHAMP |
| A.R.C.S., Dip.Man. (Open). | CR. A.R. NEWELL |
| CR. P.I.C. CRERAR, B.A. (Hons.), | CR. J.J. PREECE |
| M.Arch., M.A. | CR. M.J. ROBERTS, B.Sc., F.R.S.A. |
| a CR. K. DIBBLE, M.A.F.M., B.I.F.M. | CR. P.F. RUST, A.I.M.E.E., M.I.S.M. |
| CR. SUE DIBBLE | CR. M.L. SHEEHAN |
| CR. R.L.G. DIBBS | CR. M.D. SMITH |
| CR. JENNIFER M. EVANS, B.Sc., | CR. M. STAPLEHURST |
| M.Sc. | CR. L.A. TAYLOR |
| CR. D.S. GLADSTONE | CR. P.G. TAYLOR, A.C.I.B. |
| CR. C.P. GRATTAN | a CR. M.J. TENNANT, B.Sc. |
| CR. BARBARA J. HURST, B.A., M.A. | CR. B.A. THOMAS |
| a CR. A. JACKMAN, B.Sc. | a CR. J.E. WOOLLEY, B.Sc., L.L.B., M.A. |

Honorary Alderman C. Balchin J.P.
a Honorary Alderman R.J. Debenham, M.B.E.
a Honorary Alderman R.J. Kimber
Honorary Alderman G.J. Woolger

Apologies for absence were received on behalf of Crs. Sue Carter, Keith Dibble, A. Jackman, P.J. Moyle, M.J. Tennant and J.E. Woolley.

Before the meeting was opened, the meeting was led in prayers by the Mayor's Chaplain, Reverend Steve Stewart.

37. MINUTES –

Following the amendment of the minutes of the Ordinary Meeting of the Council held on 8th December, 2016 to show that Cr. R.L.G. Dibbs had submitted apologies for absence and not Cr. Jennifer Evans, it was MOVED by Cr. D.E. Clifford; SECONDED by Cr. K.H. Muschamp and

RESOLVED: That, the Minutes of the Ordinary Meeting of the Council held on 8th December, 2016 and the Extraordinary Meeting of the Council held on 25th January, 2017 (copies having been circulated previously) be taken as read, approved and signed as correct records of the proceedings.

38. MAYOR'S ANNOUNCEMENTS –

- (1) The Mayor drew Members' attention to the new painting on display in the Council Chamber, which had been formally presented to the Council on 26th January, 2017 by the Garrison Commander. The painting had been commissioned by the Aldershot Garrison the previous year to commemorate the 53 men from the Aldershot Garrison who had died during the Battle of the Somme. The painting had been on display at the Royal Garrison Church, Cygnets Gallery in Aldershot and also at the Aldershot Military Museum, but would now be permanently on display in the Council Chamber.
- (2) The Mayor reminded Members that 2017 would mark the 45th anniversary of the IRA attack on the Aldershot based 16 Para Brigade in Montgomery Lines. The Mayor reported that she had attended the Annual Memorial Service the previous day, followed by an eye witness account of the events of that day by Mr. Geoff Butler, who as a young man had come very close to being one of the victims.
- (3) The Mayor drew attention to two forthcoming events in aid of the Mayor's charities. Firstly, there was the Mayor's Charity Ball which would be held on 10th March at Princes Hall in Aldershot and, secondly, the Mayor's Charity Fundraising Committee was organising a charity woodland walk to be held on 8th April, 2017, details of which would be circulated shortly.

39. STANDING ORDER 8 – QUESTIONS –

The Mayor reported that no questions had been submitted in pursuance of Standing Order 8(3).

40. NOTICES OF MOTION –

(1) Bus Services –

The Council was asked to consider the following Motion, which had been submitted by Cr. A.R. Newell in accordance with the provisions of Standing Order 9 (1). It was **MOVED** by Cr. A.R. Newell; **SECONDED** by Cr. R. Cooper – That

“This Council:

- recognises the vital role that bus services play in the daily lives of all our residents.

- calls upon HCC to remove any restrictions on bus operators from setting up competitive services, and asks for the current review of local bus services to take account of future growth areas in the Borough.
- will ask Sir Gerald Howard MP to make the case for localism and competition when the opportunity next arises in Parliament.”

In introducing the Motion, Cr. Newell stated that the Council was hugely ambitious in its plans to regenerate the Borough’s towns. To fully benefit residents, he felt that the Council also wanted the best possible bus services. In order to achieve this, he stated that the Council would like to see the limited service provided by Stagecoach supplemented by other local services.

Cr. Newell advised that The Bus Services Bill was currently passing through Parliament and was waiting its second reading in the House of Commons. He felt that the Council broadly supported what the Bill proposed, which would allow a local authority to:

- set maximum bus fares
- introduce joint tickets and travel zones, to allow the use of one company’s ticket on another’s bus
- introduce Oyster style cards
- set timetables and routes

However, Cr. Newell stated that one part of the Bill which he felt would be opposed was Clause 21, which would effectively prohibit a local authority from forming a company for the purposes of providing a local bus service. Although any existing ones would continue, he believed that, although perhaps not yet thought about for Rushmoor, the option should still be available. Rushmoor had already started to expand its horizons with property investments, encompassing the digital strategy and a local lottery. Cr. Newell stated that the Bill was not about deregulated privatisation, but would provide choice and competition to those who needed it – the bus passengers.

Cr. Newell referred to the twelve local authority-run bus companies in the United Kingdom, such as Reading, Nottingham, and abroad in Vienna and Munich. He felt that the matter was about a principle that would bring about a world class bus system. He felt that it did not have to be about the big providers only, but that others should be given the opportunity to give bus passengers what was wanted and needed. Cr. Newell stated that both Nottingham City Transport and Reading Buses had won the Gold Award UK Bus Operator of the Year in 2014 and 2015 respectively. It was known that this model worked and provided a successful track record that increased bus passenger numbers and provided a high quality bus service.

Cr. Newell considered that councils should be legally able to follow the model developed by Reading and Nottingham and, therefore encouraged

Members to supports the call for the Bus Services Operators Grant to be automatically devolved to local authorities which had franchising powers. He felt that this funding could be used to improve bus services for residents, and should automatically come to all local authorities. He also felt that it would be crucial that sustainable and long term funding should accompany these extra powers to ensure that local authorities could exercise them effectively.

Cr. Newell referred to the current restrictions imposed by Hampshire County Council that limited the operation of new bus operators. These included:

- a blanket contract with a preferred provider
- the provision of advertising and awareness to the preferred provider and not to any independent companies
- requiring an insurance indemnity of £100 million which was costly for a small provider
- bus stops and bus stations only allowed to be used by the preferred provider

He felt that these restrictions inhibited the choice and competition between providers.

Cr. Newell considered that public transport was the life blood of many residents and bus services were a key aspect of any transport infrastructure. Cr. Newell felt that Rushmoor had to ensure that it facilitated the incorporation of all modes of transport and should consider all users, whether via cycling, buses, trains or cars in the Borough's infrastructure planning and co-operation with other bodies, including neighbouring authorities. He felt that, as both Aldershot and Farnborough received long-awaited regeneration, residents, businesses and visitors should expect and receive the transport links that were of the highest standard. He therefore called on Members to support the Motion.

During discussion, Members referred to the vital part played by local bus services in enabling residents to access jobs and healthcare and their importance to the economic wellbeing of an area. It was considered important to have a bus network that provided better value for money with more buses arriving on time and with more journeys on time. With traffic levels set to increase, it was felt that an integrated transport system would encourage more people to use public transport rather than cars. The view was also expressed that residents should be encouraged to use the existing bus services more.

The Motion was then put to the Meeting.

There voted FOR: 19; AGAINST: 0 and ABSTAINED: 12 and the Motion was **DECLARED CARRIED**.

(2) Financial Transactions Tax –

The Council was asked to consider the following Motion, which had been submitted by Cr. A.H. Crawford in accordance with the provisions of Standing Order 9 (1). It was MOVED by Cr. A.H. Crawford; SECONDED by Cr. Jennifer Evans - That

“This Council notes the suffering forced upon local residents as a result of this Government’s programme of cuts in local authority funding and asserts that there is an alternative – namely the levy of a Financial Transactions Tax. This Council therefore calls upon the Government to enact a Financial Transactions Tax and use the revenues from this measure to replace the loss of Revenue Support Grant and the reduction of New Homes Bonus.”

In introducing his Motion, Cr. Crawford stated that the Council’s day-to-day business was the welfare of its residents and that this task had clearly been affected in recent years by wider events. Cr. Crawford felt that, since the financial crisis of 2008, local residents had been made to pay – often with their jobs, or at best with frozen or lower wages – for the mistakes of the financial elite in the City of London. Cr. Crawford was of the opinion that councillors did their best to preserve the vital services that residents relied on, but the impact of Government cuts since 2010 had made their job increasingly difficult. Cr. Crawford felt that it was therefore important that support should be shown for a potential alternative to the austerity that impacted upon residents’ lives adversely.

Cr. Crawford was of the opinion that the Council should advocate the Financial Transactions Tax (FTT) to Government. He explained that the FTT was at the forefront of the way in which many leading nations were responding to the financial crisis, including the German and French governments and that the FTT involved a modest tax on transactions in the financial markets – involving shares, bonds and derivatives. As it was applied to each transaction at a tiny level, it targetted high-frequency gamblers on the markets, not long-term investors, such as pension funds. He advised that, in the UK, there was currently a very successful tax on shares – stamp duty – which raised £3 billion per year. He was of the opinion that extending this approach to bonds and derivatives could raise more than £8 billion per a year.

Cr. Crawford felt that in times of austerity, like the present, local authorities could use more than £8 billion and that it was reasonable to ask for a modest tax that would raise that amount. If invested wisely, he was of the opinion that £8 billion could create tens of thousands of jobs across the country, and drive employment forward in the area. He further advised that opinion polls consistently showed great support for the FTT among voters.

Cr. Crawford was of the opinion that the Government and all political parties needed to be bolder in backing the FTT and put the interests of ordinary people, such as the Borough’s residents, above the concerns of the

financial elite. He considered that local government had felt the impact of Government cuts more than most and that, with the adverse impact of the cuts still to come – in Revenue Support Grant and New Homes Bonus – things were likely to get more difficult. Cr. Crawford felt that the FTT was one way to address the shortfall of billions of pounds in councils' budgets, whilst also providing a positive source of finance to create jobs and growth and called on Members to support the Motion.

During discussion, some Members said that the introduction of FTT was economically a bad idea, which could adversely affect anyone who had a pension, mortgage or bank account as well as the finance sector. The comment was also made that France and Germany had considered the introduction of such a tax, but had abandoned the idea.

Following further debate, the Motion was then put to the meeting. There voted FOR: 10; AGAINST: 18; and ABSTAINED: 2 and it was **DECLARED LOST**.

41. **MAYOR-ELECT AND DEPUTY MAYOR-ELECT 2017/18 –**

The Vice-Chairman of the Licensing and General Purposes Committee, (Cr. M.L. Sheehan), reported that the Committee had considered the nominations for the Mayor-Elect and the Deputy Mayor-Elect for 2017/18 at its meeting on 30th January, 2017. Having regard to the criteria adopted by the Council, the Committee had agreed to recommend that:

- (i) Cr. Sophia Choudhary be selected as Mayor-Elect for the Municipal Year 2017/18; and
- (ii) Cr. Stephen John Masterson be selected as Deputy Mayor-Elect for the Municipal Year 2017/18.

It was **MOVED** by Cr. M.L. Sheehan; **SECONDED** by Cr. R.L.G. Dibbs – That the Recommendations of the Licensing and General Purposes Committee be approved in respect of the Mayor-Elect and Deputy Mayor-Elect.

There voted FOR: 31; AGAINST: 0 and the Motion was **DECLARED CARRIED**.

42. **RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE CABINET AND COMMITTEES –**

(1) **Revenue Budget, Capital Programme and Council Tax Level –**

The Leader of the Council (Cr. D.E. Clifford) introduced the Report of the Cabinet meeting held on 7th February, 2017, which recommended the approval of the Revenue Budget, Capital Programme and Council Tax level 2017/18. It was **MOVED** by Cr. D.E. Clifford; **SECONDED** by Cr. P.G. Taylor – that approval be given to the recommendations in the Revenue Budget and Capital Programme budget booklet 2017/18 as follows:

- (i) the General Fund Revenue Budget Summary;
- (ii) the detailed General Fund Revenue Budget;
- (iii) the additional items for inclusion in the budget;
- (iv) the Council Tax Requirement of £5,863,664 for this Council;
- (v) the Council Tax level for Rushmoor Borough Council's purposes of £192.73 for a Band D property in 2017/18;
- (vi) the Capital Programme;
- (vii) the Head of Financial Services' report under Section 25 of the Local Government Act 2003; and
- (viii) the holding of reserves as previously detailed in Report No. FIN1708.

Following debate, the Motion was put to the meeting. On a Recorded Vote, there voted FOR: Crs. Diane Bedford, M.S. Choudhary, D.E. Clifford, R. Cooper, Liz Corps, P.I.C. Crerar, R.L.G. Dibbs, D.S. Gladstone, Barbara Hurst, G.B. Lyon, J.H. Marsh, S.J. Masterson, Marina Munro, K.H. Muschamp, A.R. Newell, M.L. Sheehan, M.D. Smith, P.G. Taylor and B.A. Thomas (19); AGAINST: Crs. D.M.T. Bell, T.D. Bridgeman, A.H. Crawford, Sue Dibble, Jennifer Evans, C.P. Grattan, B. Jones, J.J. Preece, M.J. Roberts, P.F. Rust, M. Staplehurst and L.A. Taylor (12); and ABSTAINED: The Deputy Mayor (Cr. Sophia Choudhary) and the Mayor (Cr. Jacqui Vosper) (2) and the Recommendations were **DECLARED CARRIED**.

(2) Annual Treasury Management Strategy 2017/18 and Prudential Indicators for Capital Finance –

The Cabinet Member for Corporate Services (Cr. P.G. Taylor) introduced the Report of the Cabinet Meeting held on 7th February, 2017, which recommended the approval of the revised Treasury Management and Prudential Indicators for 2016/17, Treasury Management Strategy, Annual Borrowing Strategy, Annual Investment Strategy, Prudential Indicators for 2017/18 and the Minimum Revenue Provision Statement.

It was MOVED by Cr. P.G. Taylor; SECONDED by Cr. D.E. Clifford – That approval be given to the revised Treasury Management and Prudential Indicators for 2016/17, Treasury Management Strategy, Annual Borrowing Strategy and Annual Investment Strategy for 2017/18, Prudential Indicators for 2017/18 and the Minimum Revenue Provision Statement.

There voted FOR: 30; AGAINST: 0 and the Recommendation was **DECLARED CARRIED**.

(3) **Rushmoor Borough Council Plan 2017/18 –**

The Leader of the Council (Cr. D.E. Clifford) introduced the Report of the Cabinet Meeting held on 7th February, 2017, which recommended the approval of the Council Plan 2017/18, which built on the four Council priorities and 36 key actions which had been identified by the Cabinet.

It was **MOVED** by Cr. D.E. Clifford; **SECONDED** by Cr. K. Muschamp – That approval be given to the adoption of the Council Plan 2017/18.

There voted **FOR**: 21; **AGAINST**: 10 and the Recommendation was **DECLARED CARRIED**.

(4) **Housing and Homelessness Strategy 2017 – 2022 –**

The Cabinet Member for Health and Housing (Cr. Barbara Hurst) introduced the Report of the Cabinet Meeting held on 10th January, 2017, which recommended the approval of the Housing and Homelessness Strategy 2017 – 2022 and delivery plan.

It was **MOVED** by Cr. Barbara Hurst; **SECONDED** by Cr. D.E. Clifford – That approval be given to the adoption of the Housing and Homelessness Strategy 2017 – 2022 and delivery plan.

During discussion, it was **MOVED** by Cr. A.H. Crawford; **SECONDED** by Cr. M.D. Smith – That the recommendation be amended by adding the following wording:

“....., subject to updating the data from the 2014 Strategic Housing Market Assessment for Rushmoor, taking into account the increases in house prices and rental costs in the past three years, a definition of ‘affordable’ that takes into account local wages and costs, and the impact of viability assessments on the 35% for affordable housing in approvals of future housing developments.”

Cr. Crawford said that he had spoken to the Chairman of the Community Policy and Review Panel, who had indicated that the issue would be considered at the next meeting of the Community Policy and Review Panel. On this basis, Cr. Crawford withdrew the amendment.

The Recommendation was then put to the meeting. There voted **FOR**: 30; **AGAINST**: 0 and the Recommendation was **DECLARED CARRIED**.

(5) Appointment of External Auditor –

The Vice-Chairman of the Licensing and General Purposes Committee (Cr. M.L. Sheehan) introduced the Report of the Licensing and General Purposes Committee meeting held on 28th November, 2016, which recommended that the Council opted in to the appointing person arrangements made by Public Sector Audit Appointments (PSAA) for the appointment of external auditors.

It was **MOVED** by Cr. M.L. Sheehan; **SECONDED** by Cr. S.J. Masterson – That

- (i) approval be given to the Council opting in to the appointing person arrangements made by Public Sector Audit Appointments (PSAA) for the appointment of external auditors; and
- (ii) the Head of Financial Services be authorised to sign the notice of acceptance on behalf of the Council.

There voted **FOR: 30; AGAINST: 0** and the Recommendations were **DECLARED CARRIED.**

(6) Pay Policy Statement 2017/18 –

The Vice-Chairman of the Licensing and General Purposes Committee (Cr. M.L. Sheehan) introduced the Report of the Licensing and General Purposes Committee meeting held on 30th January, 2017, which recommended the approval of the Pay Policy Statement 2017/18.

It was **MOVED** by Cr. M.L. Sheehan; **SECONDED** by Cr. S.J. Masterson – That approval be given to the Pay Policy Statement 2017/18.

There voted **FOR: 29; AGAINST: 0** and the Recommendation was **DECLARED CARRIED.**

(7) Appointment of Chief Executive –

The Vice-Chairman of the Licensing and General Purposes Committee (Cr. M.L. Sheehan) introduced the Report of the Licensing and General Purposes Committee meeting held on 23rd February, 2017, which recommended that the Council confirm the recommendation of the Committee that Mr. Paul Shackley be appointed as the Council's Chief Executive.

It was **MOVED** by Cr. M.L. Sheehan; **SECONDED** by Cr. S.J. Masterson that the recommendation of the Licensing and General Purposes Committee be confirmed that Mr. Paul Shackley should be appointed as the Council's Chief Executive.

There voted FOR: 29; AGAINST: 1 and the Recommendation was **DECLARED CARRIED.**

43. **THE COUNCIL TAX 2017/18 –**

It was MOVED by Cr. D.E. Clifford; SECONDED by Cr. K. Muschamp –
That

- (i) it be noted that the Council calculated the amount of £30,424.24 as its Council Tax Base for the year 2017/18 in accordance with Section 31B(3) of the Local Government Finance Act 1992 as amended by the Localism Act 2011 (the 'Act');
- (ii) the following amounts be calculated by the Council for the year 2017/18 in accordance with Sections 31 and Sections 34 to 36 of the Act:
 - (a) £78,593,748 being the aggregate of the amounts which the Council estimates for the items set out in Section 31A(2) of the Act
 - (b) £72,730,084 being the aggregate of the amounts which the Council estimates for the items set out in Section 31A(3) of the Act.
 - (c) £5,863,664 being the amount by which the aggregate at (ii)(a) above exceeds the aggregate at (ii)(b) above, calculated by the Council in accordance with Section 31A(4) of the Act, as its Council Tax requirement for the year.
 - (d) £192.73 being the amount at (ii)(c) above, all divided by the amount at 1 above, calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 31B(1) of the Act, as the basic amount of its Council Tax for the year.

(e) **Valuation Bands**

A	£128.49
B	£149.90
C	£171.32
D	£192.73
E	£235.56
F	£278.39
G	£321.22
H	£385.46

being the amounts given by multiplying the amount at (ii)(d) above by the number which, in the proportion set out in Section 5(1) of the Act, is applicable to dwellings listed in a particular valuation band divided by the number which in that proportion is applicable to dwellings listed in valuation band D, calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 36(1) of the Act, as the amounts to be taken into account for the year in respect of categories of dwellings listed in different valuation bands;

- (iii) That it be noted that for the year 2017/18 Hampshire County Council, the Police and Crime Commissioner for Hampshire and Hampshire Fire and Rescue Authority have stated the following amounts in precepts issued to the Council, in accordance with Section 40 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, for each of the categories of the dwellings shown below:

Precepting Authority	Valuation Bands	
Hampshire County Council	A	£755.40
	B	£881.30
	C	£1007.20
	D	£1133.10
	E	£1384.90
	F	£1636.70
	G	£1888.50
	H	£2266.20

Precepting Authority	Valuation Bands	
Police and Crime Commissioner for Hampshire	A	£110.31
	B	£128.69
	C	£147.08
	D	£165.46
	E	£202.23
	F	£239.00
	G	£275.77
	H	£330.92

Precepting Authority

Hampshire Fire and Rescue Authority

Valuation Bands

A	£42.56
B	£49.65
C	£56.75
D	£63.84
E	£78.03
F	£92.21
G	£106.40
H	£127.68

- (iv) That, having calculated the aggregate in each case of the amounts at (ii)(e) and (iii) above, the Council, in accordance with Sections 30 and 36 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, hereby sets the following amounts as the amounts of Council Tax for the year 2017/18 for each of the categories of dwellings shown below:

Valuation Bands

A	£1036.76
B	£1209.54
C	£1382.35
D	£1555.13
E	£1900.72
F	£2246.30
G	£2591.89
H	£3110.26

44. QUESTIONS FOR THE CABINET –

The Mayor reported that no questions had been submitted.

45. REPORTS OF CABINET AND COMMITTEES –

(1) Cabinet –

It was **MOVED** by Cr. D.E. Clifford; **SECONDED** by Cr. K.H. Muschamp and

RESOLVED: That the Report of the Meeting of the Cabinet held on 13th December, 2016 (Para. Nos. 252 - 258) be received.

(2) **Cabinet –**

It was MOVED by Cr. D.E. Clifford; SECONDED by Cr. K.H. Muschamp and

RESOLVED: That the Report of the Meeting of the Cabinet held on 10th January, 2017 (Para. Nos. 259 – 266) be received.

(3) **Cabinet –**

It was MOVED by Cr. D.E. Clifford; SECONDED by Cr. K.H. Muschamp and

RESOLVED: That the Report of the Special Meeting of the Cabinet held on 1st February, 2017 (Para. Nos. 267 - 268) be received.

(4) **Cabinet –**

It was MOVED by Cr. D.E. Clifford; SECONDED by Cr. K.H. Muschamp and

RESOLVED: That the Report of the Meeting of the Cabinet held on 7th February, 2017 (Para. Nos. 269 - 280) be received.

(5) **Licensing and General Purposes Committee –**

It was MOVED by Cr. M.L. Sheehan; SECONDED by Cr. S.J. Masterson and

RESOLVED: That the Report of the Meeting of the Licensing and General Purposes Committee held on 28th November, 2016 (Para. Nos. 281 – 284) be received.

(6) **Development Management Committee –**

It was MOVED by Cr. B.A. Thomas; SECONDED by Cr. A.R. Newell and

RESOLVED: That the Report of the Meeting of the Development Management Committee held on 7th December, 2016 (Para. Nos. 285 – 293) be received.

(7) **Licensing and General Purposes Committee –**

It was MOVED by Cr. S.J. Masterson; SECONDED by Cr. Liz Corps and

RESOLVED: That the Report of the Meeting of the Licensing and General Purposes Committee held on 30th January, 2017 (Para Nos. 294 - 303) be received.

(8) **Development Management Committee –**

It was MOVED by Cr. B.A. Thomas; SECONDED by Cr. J.H. Marsh and

RESOLVED: That the Report of the Meeting of the Development Management Committee held on 1st February, 2017 (Para. Nos. 304 – 312) be received.

(9) **Licensing and General Purposes Committee –**

It was MOVED by Cr. M.L. Sheehan; SECONDED by Cr. S.J. Masterson and

RESOLVED: That the Report of the Special Meeting of the Licensing and General Purposes Committee held on 2nd February, 2017 (Para. Nos. 313 - 314) be received.

46. **REPORTS OF POLICY AND REVIEW PANELS –**

RESOLVED: That the Reports of the undermentioned meetings of the Policy and Review Panels be received:

POLICY AND REVIEW PANEL	DATE OF MEETING	PARA. NOS. RECEIVED
Leisure and Youth	23rd January, 2017	315 – 317
Environment	31st January, 2017	318 – 322
Community	2nd February, 2017	323 – 326

The Meeting closed at 9.30 p.m.
